Direction Finding with Passive Phase Radar EE123 Final Project

Jason Cramer Lucine Oganesian



Use two SDRs to determine the direction that a RF source is coming from

Create a maximum likelihood map to determine where the source is relative to the antennas

Phased Arrays

- Beamforming
 - Steer the reception wavefront by changing the relative phases of antennas in a linear array
- Active radar changes the phase between receivers beforehand
- Passive radar applies phase to signals digitally afterwards
- Want phase resulting in maximal combined energy



Derivation



$$s(t) = e^{j\omega_c t}$$

$$\delta = d\sin(\theta)$$

$$\phi_{dir}(\theta) = -\frac{\delta}{c}\omega_c = -\frac{\delta\omega_c}{c}\sin(\theta)$$

$$a_0(t) = G_0 e^{j(\omega_c(t-\delta t)-\phi_{dir}-\phi_0)}$$

$$a_1(t) = G_1 e^{j(\omega_c(t-\delta t)-\phi_1)}$$

$$\phi_{tuner} = \phi_0 - \phi_1$$

$$\hat{\theta} = \max_{\theta} \|a_0(t) + a_1(t)e^{j(\phi_{dir}(\theta) + \hat{\phi}_{tuner})}\|_2^2$$

Hardware (SDRs)



- Remove the clock (crystal oscillator) from one SDR
- Connected Clk-out (from the "master" SDR) to Clk-in (on the "slave" SDR)
- Connecting Clk-in (master) to Clk-in (slave) [blue circle] also works

Setup

 Antennas half wavelength apart (pure or pulsing tone ~434MHz)

Assumptions

- Both antennas received same frequencies
- The frequencies of interest contribute the majority of the signal energy (BP → FFT → Max Magnitude Peak)
- Transmitted signals are sinusoids or sinusoidal pulses
- Constant phase between antennas
- Planar wavefronts



How it works

- 1. Acquire the samples from the dongles in parallel
- 2. Time align the two signals
 - USB interfaces causes signals to be misaligned after acquisition
 - Use cross-correlation to find the delay between acquired samples from each antenna
- 3. Bandpass filter signal of interest
- 4. Calibrating determine phase tuner offset (should be constant when a tone is emitted)
 - Use a matched filter to detect tone
 - Average the regions of (very nearly) constant phase
- 5. Determine direction by digitally steering the antennas. Subtract (tuner) offset. Choose the angle that yields the maximal combined signal power.



equidistant from the two antennas.

To calibrate, we placed the source

Calibration setup:

Simulated our pipeline with generated ("received") IQ modulated pulse (or pure tone) signals Fairly accurately and consistently:

- Bandpass filtered signals, pulling out the pure tone
- Detected time-series delay (successful cross-correlation)
- Calculated the phase difference for calibration
- Recovered the angle (aside from inherent ambiguity) Problems we encountered:
- Slight oddities with lobe plotting
- Occasionally pure tones would yield incorrect delay values (not good for matched filtering)











0.8

1.0

-4

0.2

0.4

Time

0.6

Note that these **aren't** radiation maps. They are polar plots of power as a function of angle (from the source from the line orthogonal to the line between antennas).











Results

- Phase difference from tuners isn't constant, but are approximately constant for each acquisition
 - The PLL resets for each acquisition
- Need to use a reference transmitter
 - We couldn't find a transmitter that worked well with the little transmitter that could (tried other transmitters, tried radio, no go)
 - Reverted to averaging a crude estimation of phase offset from tuners over 10 trials with gain 1 (both antennas)
- Results with crude estimate:
 - We had 5 trials with frequency mismatch (out of 18)
 - Left hemisphere: 9/18 (ish-es included)
 - Right hemisphere: 9/18
 - So, ~50% success rate

Results



Note: These are predicted locations of the source, relative to the receivers. Not radiation fields.



Future Direction

- Take more samples w/ different gains to create radiation map
- Find a reference that transmits near this main frequency (increase accuracy)
- Make a real-time direction finder
- Add third SDR to remove ambiguity from prediction
- Experiment more with using Barker Codes (or other binary codes) to detect time delay better

Barker Codes (or other binary codes)

- Used Barker codes or on/off keying from radio More beautiful pulse compression [figure right]
 - Pro: better delay detection





Less beautiful phase difference (typically) [insert figure]

- Ramps probably due to the tuner warming up
- Con: would have to approximate phase difference; less accuracy

Acknowledgements

- Saul Fuhrmann Edward Lai Pasha Reshetikhin
- Gabe Buckmaster
- Miki Lustig

Frank and Giulia http://kaira.sgo.fi/2013/09/16-dual-channel-coherent-digital.html